

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

**WILLIE JOHNSON,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**CAMERON INTERNATIONAL  
CORPORATION d/b/a  
CAMERON SYSTEMS  
CORPORATION,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Cause No. 4:16-cv-00262**

**A Jury is Demanded**

**PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

Willie Johnson, plaintiff, brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA), 52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq* (1994 ed. and Supp. III)(“FLSA”). This is an individual action to recover unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and attorney’s fees and costs owed to Plaintiff by Defendant.

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff, Willie Johnson, was an “employee” of Defendant, as that term is defined by the FLSA. During his employment with the Defendant, the Plaintiff was an individual directly engaged in interstate commerce, and his work was essential to Defendant’s business. Plaintiff resides in Harris County, Texas.

2. Cameron International Corporation, d/b/a Cameron Systems Corporation, is a domestic for-profit Delaware Corporation engaged in the business of operating an oil and gas service company in Harris County, Texas. With respect to Plaintiff, Defendant is subject to the provisions of the FLSA, as it was at all relevant times engaged in interstate commerce or was part of an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§203(r) and (s). The work of the Defendant involves the actual movement of selling goods and services through commerce.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has jurisdiction under the FLSA, and venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Defendant and Plaintiff transacted business within this judicial district and the events underlying this complaint occurred within this judicial district.

#### **FACTS SUPPORTING RELIEF**

4. Defendant employed Plaintiff as a recruiter from September 3, 2013, through January 21, 2014. Plaintiff's duties included screening prospective employees and obtaining their applications and pre-hire and running the appropriate background checks. Plaintiff frequently used the internet and made long distance phone calls to complete his duties.

5. Plaintiff was required and permitted to work from sixty to sixty-five (60-65) hours per week often working seven days a week. Plaintiff was paid \$45.00 per hour. Plaintiff was allowed a lunch break, but frequently was unable to take one.

6. Plaintiff's time sheets do not reflect all hours worked or suffered to permit to work as he was told by his supervisors that he was not permitted to record accurately all time that Plaintiff worked.

7. During some or all of the workweeks of his tenure with the Defendant, the Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

8. During the weeks of employment where Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff the overtime premium required by the FLSA.

9. At all times relevant to this case, the Defendant had knowledge of Plaintiff's regular and overtime work. Defendant approved Plaintiff's work and hours. Plaintiff's work benefitted Defendant.

10. Plaintiff was not paid on a salary or fee basis, and did not ever serve in the capacity of an executive, administrator, professional or outside sales representative, as those terms are understood pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §541. Plaintiff was not exempt from the protection of the FLSA.

11. The Defendant did not make a good faith effort to comply with the overtime wage provisions contained within the FLSA.

12. Defendant's actions were willful and in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's federally protected rights.

13. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff under the FLSA for all unpaid wages and overtime compensation as well as for liquidated damages, attorney's fees, out of pocket expenses and costs of Court.

14. Plaintiff does not generally have access to Defendant's records and will provide additional detail after Defendant has provided records or initial disclosures have been made, if necessary.

**CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE**

**FLSA OVERTIME PROVISION**

15. Plaintiff incorporates all averments of fact into this Cause of Action for violation of the FLSA overtime provision.

16. During one or more workweeks of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff worked more than forty (40) hours.

17. The Defendant is obligated by law to pay Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate for each hour worked over forty (40) in any workweek.

18. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff the overtime rate for hours worked over forty (40).

19. The Defendant willfully denied Plaintiff's right to overtime compensation under the FLSA.

20. The FLSA prohibits the denial of overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek.

21. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to actual and compensatory damages, including the amount of overtime which was not paid and which should have been paid at the proper hourly rate.

22. Plaintiff further seeks liquidated damages as Defendant's conduct is in violation of Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207.

23. Plaintiff also seeks compensation of recoverable expenses, costs of court, and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

24. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of Ross Law, P.C. to represent him in this suit. Plaintiff has entered into a valid contract with Ross Law, P.C. and has appointed that firm and the undersigned counsel to be his sole agent, attorney-in-fact, and representative in this suit, exclusive of all other parties, including Plaintiff. To avoid tortious interference with Plaintiff's obligations to his attorneys in this suit, all communications concerning this suit must be made by Defendant and Defendant's attorneys solely to and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff's contract with and representation by Ross Law, P.C. and the undersigned attorney

gives rise to a claim for reasonable and necessary attorney's fees that Plaintiff is entitled to collect from Defendant pursuant to 29 U. S. C. § 216(b).

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims he has asserted in this Complaint.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Willie Johnson, Plaintiff, prays that the Court assume jurisdiction of this cause and that Defendant be cited to appear. Plaintiff prays that the Court award the following relief, under law and equity, as applicable:

1. Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to Plaintiff's unpaid back wages at the applicable overtime rate;
2. Judgment against Defendant that its violation of the FLSA was willful;
3. Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid wage damages as liquidated damages;
4. If liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of pre-judgment interest;
5. Post-judgment interest at the applicable rate;
6. All costs, recoverable expenses and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims;

7. Leave to amend to add claims under applicable state laws, if necessary;  
and,
8. For such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable to which  
Plaintiff is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

**ROSS LAW GROUP**  
/s/ Thomas H. Padgett, Jr.  
**THOMAS H. PADGETT, JR.**  
Texas Bar No. 15405420  
Fed. ID: 11554  
Attorney-in-Charge  
4809 Pine St.  
Bellaire, Texas 77401  
Ph: 800-634-8042  
Ph: 512-474-7677  
Fax: 512-4745306  
[tpadgett@rosslawgroup.com](mailto:tpadgett@rosslawgroup.com)

**CHARLES L. SCALISE**  
Texas Bar No. 24064621  
**DANIEL B. ROSS**  
Texas Bar No. 00789810  
1104 San Antonio St.  
Austin, Texas 78701  
**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**